APPENDIX M: EVM

MOBILE APPLICATION FOR GEOLOCATION OF IMAGERY AND COLLABORATION MAGIC



Prepared for: OR680/SYST798 Capstone Project course at George Mason University

Prepared By:
MAGIC Team
Jeff Carpenter
Thomas Haas
Dawin Wright
Erika Rojas Mejia

SYST 798 / OR 680 Spring 2010 Course Professor: Dr. Kathryn Laskey Project Sponsor: Kurt Rogers, Integrity Applications Inc.

Earned Value Management (EVM)

The MAGIC team's original approach was to break the project up into four distinct phases. The first 'Project Definition Phase' would result in the completed Project Proposal and an understanding by the team of what the deliverables for the team were. The second and third phases would each result in a 'draft' of these expected deliverables, and corresponded with the 1st and 2nd Progress Report. The idea was that lessons learned from the first iteration would inform and focus the activities of the second, and so on. The fourth and final phase was to finalize all deliverables, and prepare the final report and presentation, effectively being a third iteration.

Tas			JAN		F	EB				MAR				^	PR	
	ks	Description	27	3		17	24	3	10		24	31	7		21	28
											Ī	Ī	Ī			
'ro	ject Definition Phase	Define the problem and project scope, and determine feasibility. Phase is													\Box	
_	Problem definition presentation	complete when the Project Proposal is delivered. Initial presentation delivered in class defining the purpose of the MAGIC									-	\vdash	-	+	-	\vdash
		project.		15												\perp
	Define initial tasks / hours / EVM plan	Forms the basis for Earned Value Management (EVM), and to be re- evaluated each phase. This spreadsheet is an initial draft.			15											\perp
	Problem definition / scope presentation	Presentation delivered to the class focusing on the scope of the project.			20											
	Define preliminary requirements	Write primary requirements based on the described needs of the sponsor. This is part of the Project Proposal.			5	10										
	Write a Project Plan	Describes how we (the MAGIC team) will operate (roles / responsibilities, interaction with sponsor, meeting-times, tools, etc)			5	10									П	
	Write the Project Proposal	A class-deliverable to include the project definition, preliminary requirements, technical approach, expected results, and the project plan.				20										
Eni	tial Iteration	Identify an initial set of users and use-cases; derive an initial system architecture and requirements flow-down; and perform an initial cost- analysis and ROI assessment. Ends with the 10 March Progress Reports.														
_	Update tasks / hours / EVM plan	Update the task-list and planned hours (this spreadsheet).					10	3	3		1	\vdash	+	+-	+	
	Identify potential users	Identify a set of likely users of the capability. They will form the options					<u> </u>		-		T	<u> </u>	T	-	\vdash	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	described in the business case. This initial set of users will be re-evaluated (and probably de-scoped) during the 2nd iteration.					15	5								
	Develop Concept of Operations	Includes use-cases and scenarios for the various options explored in the business case.					10	10							П	
	Perform Technical Feasibility Analysis	Determine if the potential performance meets the needs of potential												T	\vdash	
		users. Perform trade study between various available platforms. Assess scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended						10	10							
	Initial CORE Model	option to be modeled in CORE. Define an initial functional and physical architecture in CORE; map						5	10					\vdash	\vdash	
_	Cost analysis and DOI assessment	requirements to physical / functional elements within the CORE model.	-		-				_		-	-	-		\vdash	<u> </u>
	Cost-analysis and ROI assessment Compile Business Case	Estimate the development costs, and estimate the return on investment. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility					2	15 3	10						\vdash	
	Prepare the Progress Report	analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~15 minutes). Will cover the Initial					_	_	20		\vdash			\vdash	\vdash	
_		Iteration.														
Sec	ond Iteration	Update / down-select the set of users and use-cases; update the system														
		architecture and requirements flow-down; update the cost-analysis and ROI assessment. Ends with the 14 April Progress Report.														
	Update tasks / hours / EVM plan	Update the task-list and planned hours (this spreadsheet).								10	3	3	3	3	\perp	\vdash
	Update the target users	Update and / or down-select the set of target users. These will form the basis for the options considered in the Business Case.								15	5					
	Update Concept of Operations	Includes use-cases and scenarios for the various options explored in the business case.								10	10					
	Update Technical Feasibility Analysis	Update assessment of whether the potential performance meets the														
		needs of potential users. Update trade study between various available platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE.									5	15	5			
	Update CORE Model	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping									5	15	5	10		
	Refine cost-analysis and ROI	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases.									5	5	15			
	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates.									5			10		
	Refine cost-analysis and ROI	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases.								4	5	5	15			
	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility								4	5	5	15	10		
Out	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10		
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10		
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5	5
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5	
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5	5
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5	
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5	5
Dut	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5 5	5
Out	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI assessment Meet with professor	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / use-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress and plan for final presentation								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5 5 5 5	5 10 5
Out	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI assessment Meet with professor Final Presentation Dry Run	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress and plan for final presentation.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5 5 5 5 5	5 10 5
Out	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI assessment Meet with professor Final Presentation Dry Run Final Report (Business Case)	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress and plan for final presentation. Prepare for and perform a dry-run of the final presentation. Prepare for and deliver the final report (due 2 May)								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5 5 5 5	5 10 5
	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize Core Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI assessment Meet with professor Final Presentation Dry Run Final Report (Business Case) Final Presentation	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress and plan for final presentation.								4	5	5	15 10 4	10	5 5 5 5 5	5 10 5
ro	Refine cost-analysis and ROI assessment Re-compile Business Case Prepare the 2nd Progress Report brief Preparation Set up web page Finalize Concept of Operations Finalize Technical Feasibility Analysis Finalize CORE Model Finalize cost-analysis and ROI assessment Meet with professor Final Presentation Dry Run Final Report (Business Case)	platforms. Update scope of networking / collaboration integration. Pick the recommended option to be modeled in CORE. Update the functional / physical architectures, and requirement mapping based on the down-selected set of target users / user-cases. Update development cost and ROI estimates. Pull information from the concept of operations, the technical feasibility analysis, and others into an initial business case. A class-deliverable presentation (~25 minutes). Will cover the Second Iteration. Finalize all analyses and documents; meet with professor; dry-run the presentation; and deliver the final presentation. Set up the MAGIC Project web page Finalize the CORE Model, to include functional / physical architectures and requirements Finalize development cost and ROI estimates. Prepare for and execute meeting with the professor to discuss progress and plan for final presentation. Prepare for and perform a dry-run of the final presentation. Prepare for and deliver the final report (due 2 May)							56 244	39	5 15 4	5 15 4	15 10 4 10	10 4 20	5 5 5 5 5 5 10 10	5 10 5 20 10

Figure 1: Initial Task Structure and Planned Hour Baseline

This approach drove the task-structure used for EVM, and the planned hours expected for each task; see Figure 1. This planned hour and task baseline was followed until the end of the first

iteration, corresponding to the first Progress Report. At this time, it became obvious to the team that a 'draft' of all the deliverables provided at each Progress Report was impossible: there were too many dependencies between deliverables, such that one could not be started until another was at an appropriate level of fidelity.

The MAGIC team underwent a re-baselining of the task-structure and the planned hours to address the issues encountered by the iterative approach. Tasks were now grouped by major deliverable, and an 'overhead' group called 'Project Management' that covered common tasks such as team-meetings and administrative activities. The new EVM baseline went into effect on 17 March 2011.

	JAN		FE	В				MAR				ΔI	PR		MA
Tasks	27	3	10	17	24	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	5
	2.7	-	20			-	20	2.7			_				-
RE-Baselined Tasking															
Project Management															
Administration Tasks								10	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
Team Meetings								15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Progress Reports								10		4			4	0	4
Final Report														12	12
Final Presentation															8
THE TESTICATION															
CONOPs Development															
User Identification and Selection															-
Use Case Analysis								6	6	2					
Other diagrams/documentation								2	2	2	2				\vdash
Draft CONOPs								2	2	4	6	6	6		
Final CONOPs														8	$\overline{}$
System Requirements Analysis															
Funcational Architecture Development									2	8	8				-
Physical Architecture Development									2						-
Operational Architecture/CONOPs											8	8			$\overline{}$
integration			l			l					8	8			
Finalize CORE Model												2			
System Requirements Analysis												4	8		-
Draft SRD												2	4		
Final SRD														8	
rechnical Feasibility Analysis															
User Performance Analysis								2	2						
Hardware Capability Analysis								6	6	2					
- CONOPs Implications															
Sharing/Networking Analysis								2	2	6	6				
Draft Tech Analysis												6	2		
Final Tech Analysis														4	
Business Case Analysis															
Market Research								4	4	4					
- Current Market Shares and															
opertunities															
- Estimate Development Costs															
Description of options								4	4	4					
Recommendations											6				
Draft BCA											2	8	8		
Final BCA														8	
TOTAL HOURS PER WEEK	0	15	45	40	37	51	56	63	42	46	48	46	42	51	3
CUMULATIVE HOURS	0	15	60	100	137	188	244	307	349	395	443	489	531	582	61

Figure 2: New Task Structure and Planned Hour Baseline

Every Thursday during the semester, each team member entered the hours they spent per task over the past week; then the team decided collectively what percent of each task had been completed in the past week. Figure 3 shows the percent complete of each task estimated by the team each week; this formed the basis of the Earned Value.

	AGIC Project Tasks			FI	· D				MAR				Δ1	PR		MAT	
Γas	ks	27	3	10	17	24	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	5	Tutal × Cumplet
				- ' '												-	
Pro	ject Definition Phase																
	Problem definition presentation		100%														
	Define initial tasks / hours / EVM plan			50×													
	Problem definition / scope presentation			50×													
	Define preliminary requirements			40%	40%												
	Write a Project Plan				50%												
	Write the Project Proposal				50%												
	0																
Init	ial Iteration																
	Update tasks / hours / EVM plan					50×											
	Identify potential users					50×											
	Develop Concept of Operations					10%	15%										0
	Perform Technical Feasibility Analysis						20%										_
	Initial CORE Model					15%	5%	10%									
_	Cost-analysis and ROI assessment	_				157.		107.									
	Compile Business Case					10%	10%	10%									
	Prepare the Progress Report					10%	0%	197									
							02										
D.	RE-Baselined Tasking																
rro	ject Management	_	_	\vdash	_	\vdash	—										
	Administration Tasks	_	_	\vdash	_	\vdash			12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	
	Team Meetings	_	_	_		_			12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	
	Progress Reports												50.00%			50.00%	
	Final Report						L						5.00%		45.00%	50.00%	
	Final Presentation							<u></u>								100.00%	
COI	MOPs Development																
	User Identification and Selection								100%								
	Use Case Analysis								75×	15%	10%						
	Other diagrams/documentation								45%	15%	15%	15%			5×	5%	
	Draft CONOPs								15%	20%	15%	20%	5×	10%	15%		
	Final CONOPs														60%	40%	
2=2	tem Requirements Analysis																
-,-	Funcational Architecture Development								10%	20%	15%	20%	35%				
_	Physical Architecture Development								85%	15%	107.	207.	227.				
_	Operational Architecture/CONOPs								5×	5%	10%	10%	40%	30%			
_	Finalize CORE Model	_							9%		15%		35×	10%	5×		
_		_	_	_		_				25%	19%	10%			5%		
_	System Requirements Analysis								5×			5×	45%	45%			
	Draft SRD	_	_			_								50×	20%	30%	
	Final SRD															100%	
Tec	Anical Feasibility Analysis																
	User Performance Analysis		_	_		_			85%	5%	5%	5%					
	Hardware Capability Analysis								60×	20%	10%	10%					
	- CONOPs Implications																
	Sharing/Networking Analysis								20%	10%	40%	20%	10%				
	Draft Tech Analysis								50×		20%	10%	15%	5×			
	Final Tech Analysis													10%	80×	10%	
Bus	iness Case Analysis																
	Market Research								50×	20%	20%	10%					
	- Current Market Shares and opertunities																
	- Estimate Development Costs																
	Description of options	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash			30×	10%	10%	10%	10%	5×	15%	10%	
	Recommendations	_							15%	15%	15%	15%	10% 5%	5% 5%	15%	15%	
		-	_		_											19%	
	Draft BCA	-	-	_	_	\vdash		\vdash	20%	20%	10%	10%	10%	10%	20%	-	
	Final BCA														40%	60%	
				19.5	23.5	23.05	8.55	2.3	39.65	35.1	30.3	26.5	102.3	31.9	100.9	215.7	674
	ned Value per week MULATIVE HOURS	0	15		58			91.9	131.55	166.65	196.95	223.45	325.75	357.65	458.55	674.25	

Figure 3: Percent of Each Task Completed Per Week

MAGIC Project Tasks																	_						_		_					_					_				
		JA		4							EB			_			₩			_			_	НА	R				_			_				_		_	AP
asks	+	27	' - T	+	П.	<u> </u>	Τ.		10	Τ.	١.,	17	т.	١.,	_ 24		+	3	Τ.	 -	10		7 1	17			_ 24		+-	T - 1	1 - 1			D E		+		14 E	,
roject Definition Phase	+		-	-		<u>' </u>	-	-	<u> </u>	+*	++		+	++	-	-	+ •	D 1	+	++	"	• •		<u>' -</u>	-	+	-	-	+•	, D	Ŀ	-	-	<u> </u>	-	+•	10	-	•
Problem definition presentation		Н	\dashv	\top	5 ;	2 3	3	Н	_	+	Н	-	_	П	\neg	-	Н	-	+	-	$\overline{}$	_		-	Н	\top	\neg	\top	_	-	П	\neg	\neg	\pm	_	-	-	-	
Define initial tasks / hours / EVM plan		Ħ	T	\neg	1	+	+	5	\top	\top	5	\top	3	П	\neg	\top	\Box	\neg	\top	T	\Box	\top		\top	П	\neg	\top	\top	_	T	П	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	T	\Box	
Problem definition / scope presentation		Ħ	╅	寸	\top	\top	\top		2 5	2		\neg	Ť	т	一	\top	\Box	\top	\top	T	\vdash	\top		\top	П	\top	十	\top	\top	t	П	\neg	\neg	\pm	\top	T	1	\Box	
Define preliminary requirements		\vdash	\neg	\top	\top	+	\top		3	+-		2	+	Н	\neg	\top	\Box	\top	+	+	\vdash	\top		+	\vdash	\top	\neg	\top	+	\vdash	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	\top	+		\Box	
Write a Project Plan		П		\neg	\top	\top	\top	\Box		\top	1		\top	П	\neg	\top	\Box	\top	\top	T	\Box	\top		\top	П	\neg	\neg	\top	_	T	П	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	T	\Box	
Write the Project Proposal		Ħ	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\Box	\top	\top		1	5 3	т	\neg	\top	\top	-	\top	T	\vdash	\top		\top	Н	\neg	\neg	\top	_	T	П	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	T	\Box	
						\top																																	
nitial Iteration		Н		_		+				+										_				_		_		-						_		_			
Update tasks / hours / EVM plan		П	\neg	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	\Box	\top	\top	П	\neg	\top	2	\neg	\top	\Box		\top	${}^{-}$	П	0.5		\top	П	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	-	П	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	-	\Box	
Identify potential users		Ħ	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\Box	\top	$^{+}$	Н	\top	\top		3	4 1	\top	-	\top	T	\vdash	-		\top	\vdash	\neg	\neg	\top	_	t	Н	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	T	\Box	
Develop Concept of Operations		\vdash	\neg	\top	\top	+	\top	\forall	\top	$^{+}$	Н	\top	+			2 5		\top	2	4	\vdash	0.5		\top	\vdash	\top	\neg	\top	\top	T	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	\top	+		\Box	
Perform Technical Feasibility Analysis		\vdash	╅	\top	\top	+	+	\forall	\top	$^{+}$	\vdash	\top	+	Н	_	+-	Ħ	\top	4		\vdash	5		+	\vdash	\top	\neg	\top	+	-	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	+	+		\Box	
Initial CORE Model		Ħ	一	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\Box	\top	\top	П	\top	\top	т	\neg	2	\Box	- 1		T	\Box	6		\top	П	\neg	\top	\top	_	T	П	\neg	\neg	-	\top	\top	T	\Box	
Cost-analysis and ROI assessment		Ħ	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\top	\Box	\top	T	Н	\top	\top	т	\neg	1	\Box	_		T	\vdash	1		\top	Н	\top	\neg	\top	\top	t	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	\top	T	T		
Compile Business Case		\sqcap	\dashv	\dashv	\neg	\top		\vdash	\top	\top	П		\top	П	4	1	\top	6	2	Т	8	0.5	\Box	\top	П	\dashv	\neg	\top			М	\neg	\dashv	\top	\top	1		\Box	
Prepare the Progress Report		\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\neg	\top		\vdash	\top	\top	П	\vdash	\top	П	-	+	\top		Ť			2 2.5		\top	\Box	\dashv	\neg	\top			\Box	\neg	\neg	\pm	\top			\Box	
RE-Baselined Tasking						+														Ť		-																	
roject Management																																							
Administration Tasks		П	\neg	7				\neg		т	П			П			П		т	т					1	\neg		1.					\neg	\top	2.0				1.0
Team Meetings		Ħ	一	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\Box	\top	\top	П	\top	\top	П	\neg	\top	\Box	\neg	\top	T	\Box	\top	5	4 0		1	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	5.0 5.			5.5	5.5	5.5
Progress Reports		Ħ	\neg	\neg	\top	+	\top	\Box	\top	$^{+}$	Н	\top	\top	т	\neg	\top	\top	-	+	T	\vdash	\top		+	H	_	-				5.0		-			1	1		
Final Report		\vdash	\neg	\neg	\top	+	\top	\Box	\top	+	Н	\top	+	т	\neg	\top	\Box	$\overline{}$	+	+	\vdash	\top		+	\vdash	\neg	\neg	\top	1	1			\neg	+	\top	+	+	0.5	
Final Presentation		\vdash	╅	\top	\top	+	+	\forall	\top	$^{+}$	\vdash	\top	+	т	\neg	\top	\Box	\top	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	\top	\neg	\top	+	-	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	+	+			
			_			+				t			+	Н						\vdash														\pm		+			
ONOPs Development	+	\vdash	_	_	_	+			_	+	Н		+		_	_	\Box		+	-		_		+	Н	$^{+}$	_	-					_	_	_	+			
User Identification and Selection		Н	\neg	_	$\overline{}$	+	-	Н	_	+	Н	$\overline{}$	_	П	\neg	_	Н	-	+	-	$\overline{}$	_		_	Н	_	\neg	-	_	_	Н	\neg	\neg	\pm	_	-		-	
Use Case Analysis	_	\vdash	\dashv	$^{+}$	\pm	+	+	\vdash	\pm	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\neg	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	4	+	\vdash	2	\rightarrow	+	_	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-	+	+	+	\vdash	
Other diagrams/documentation		\vdash	\dashv	$^{+}$	\pm	+	+	\forall	_	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	-	+	3	+	\dashv	3		\vdash	Н	2.0	10	+	+	+	+	г	
Draft CONOPs		\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	\forall	+	+	Н	+	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	2	+	H	6	\dashv	-1-	6.0	\vdash	1.0		2.0	+	+	5.0	0.5	0.5	1.0
Final CONOPs		\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\vdash	\pm	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	-	+	\vdash	Ť	$^+$	+	10.0	-	1	\dashv		\pm	+	+	1 4.5	T	
						+																				_													
system Requirements Analysis		Н	\neg	\neg	_	-			_	_		_	_		\neg	_			_	-	$\overline{}$	_		_		\neg	_	-					$\overline{}$	-	_	-			
Funcational Architecture Development		\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	$\overline{}$	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	\vdash	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	\dashv	٠,	1.0	+	-	3.0	\neg	\dashv	-	+	+	+	\vdash	
Physical Architecture Development	_	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\vdash	\pm	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	\dashv		1.0	_	-	2.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\pm	+	+	+	\vdash	
Operational Architecture/CONOPs integration		\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\pm	+	+	\vdash	\pm	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\neg	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	$^+$		1.0	_	\vdash	1.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-	+	+	+	\vdash	
Finalize CORE Model		\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	\dashv		2.0	+	-	2.0	\dashv	\rightarrow	2.		+	+	15.0	
System Requirements Analysis	_	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	+	+		+	-	1.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	1.		+	+	2.0	
Draft SRD	_	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	\forall	+	+	\vdash	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	\vdash	1.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+-	.0	+	+	2.0	
Final SRD	_	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	\vdash	1.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	
FINALSED	+	Н				+				+	Н			Н	_					+														+		+			
echnical Feasibility Analysis	+	Н	-	-	-	+	-		_	+	Н		+	-	-	_	-		+	-	-	_		+	Н	-	-	_	_				_	\pm	_	+	-	_	
User Performance Analysis	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	2	+	+	2.		\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	1.0	+	+	\vdash	
Hardware Capability Analysis	-	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	\forall	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	2	+	+	3.		\vdash	Н	2.0	\rightarrow	+	2.0		+	\vdash	
- CONOPs Implications	-	₩	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	++1	+	+	3.	'	-	Н	2.0	\rightarrow	+	- 2.0	Ή-	+	\vdash	
Sharing/Networking Analysis	-	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	\forall	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	\vdash	+	+	1,	+	\vdash	Н	3.0	\rightarrow	+	4.0	+	+	\vdash	
Draft Tech Analysis	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	١,	+	+	1,		\vdash	\vdash	1.0	\rightarrow	+	3.0		+	\vdash	2.5
Final Tech Analysis	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	Н	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+		+	++1	+	+	1,	'	-	Н	1.0	\rightarrow	+	3.0	Ή-	+	\vdash	2.5
r Inai i ech Analysis	+	Н	_	_	_	+			_	+			+	Н	_	_			+	+		_		+	\blacksquare	_	_	+					_	_	_	+			_
	+	\vdash	-	-	-	+		\dashv	-	+	Н		+	Н	-	+			+	-	-	-		+	Н	-	-	-	+				_	-	+	+	-		
usiness Case Analysis	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	- 2	\vdash	+	1.0	+	+	1.0	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	5.0	+	+	+	\vdash	_
Market Research	-	₩	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	3	\vdash	+	1.0	+	+	1.0	Н	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	5.0	+	+	+	\vdash	_
- Current Market Shares and opertunities	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	+	+	Н	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	⊢	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	-	
- Estimate Development Costs	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	+	\vdash	+	-	+	+	l	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow		+	+	1		
Description of options	\perp	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	2	\vdash		2.0	+	+	2.0	$\vdash\vdash$	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	1.0	+	+	3.0	 -	
Recommendations	\perp	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	1.0	+	+	l	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	+	+	2.0		
Draft BCA	\perp	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\sqcup	\vdash	+	\vdash	_	+	\vdash	+	+	-	\vdash	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	2.0	\sqcup	\vdash	_	3.0	+	\perp	3.0	1.0	1.0
Final BCA		\sqcup	_	_	_	\perp		Ш	_	\perp	\Box	\perp	\perp	\perp	_	\perp	\sqcup	\perp	\perp	\perp	ш	\perp	\sqcup	\perp	\sqcup	_	_	\perp					_	\bot	\perp	\perp			
		Ш		4						L	ш						ш						11		14	9		6 17	10		15	12	8	14 8	17	11		24.5	11
OTAL HOURS PER WEEK		0				13			19			22			32			30			33			34			32				6			47				60	
UMULATIVE HOURS		0				13			32			54			86			116			149			183			215			2	61			308			3	68	
/EEK		- 1				2			3		1	4			5						7			8			9				0	T		11		1		12	

Figure 4 shows the hours logged for each task by each team member; T = Tom, D = Dawin, E = Erika, and J = Jeff. This formed the basis of the Actual Cost.

401	GIC Project Tasks																																																				
P47	SUIL Frujtet Fasks		JAH								F	EB						L							н	AR															AP	R									МА		
Tasl	ks		27	Ţ	1	,	3	_	Ι.	10			17	_	Ι.	24	_	L.	3	_	Ε.	10	_		17	_	L.	2	4 ,	\perp		31	_			7		Ε.		4			2	1 .		Ξ,	28		T	_	5	Ξ,	
		т	D	E .	4	T D) E		T	D E	,	T	D I	E J	T	D	E J	T	D	E J	T	D	E d	, т	D I		т	D	E	<u> </u>	r 0) E	-	T	D	E	,	т	D	E		т	Ð	E	-	T	B	Ε.	1		D	E	,
	ect Definition Phase		1	+	1		1		\vdash				1		\vdash	-			-				-		\vdash																					-	-	-	1		-		
	Problem definition presentation	\vdash	+	+	+	5 2	2 3			+	+	\vdash	-	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	Н	Н	+	_	\vdash	+	+	Ш	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	⊢	\vdash	\vdash	_			\vdash	\rightarrow	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	—
	Define initial tasks / hours / EVM plan	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+		5	-		5	+	3	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	\vdash	\vdash	+	_	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	-	-	+	+	+	+	\vdash	⊢	\vdash	\vdash	-			\vdash	\rightarrow	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	-	-	\rightarrow	-	
	Problem definition / scope presentation	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+		2 5	2		-	-	\vdash	-	-	Н	-	_	ш	Н	+	-	\vdash	+	\vdash	Ш	-	_	+	+	_	+	-	₩	ш	\vdash	-			ш	\dashv	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-	_	_	\rightarrow	-	
	Define preliminary requirements	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	4	\perp	_	\perp	+	3	\perp		2	\perp	\vdash	_	_	ш	_	_	ш	ш	+		\vdash	\perp	\perp	Ш	_	_	\bot	\perp	_	\bot	_	₩		\sqcup	_			\Box	\rightarrow	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	\rightarrow	_	
	Write a Project Plan	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	4	_	+	_	\vdash	_		1	_	_	\vdash	_	_	ш	_	_	ш	Н	_	_	\vdash	_	\perp	Ш	_	_	_	+	_	+	_	₩	Ш	\vdash	_			\Box	\rightarrow	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	_	\rightarrow	_	
	Write the Project Proposal	\perp	\perp	_	4	\perp	_	\perp	\sqcup	_	\perp	2	1	5 3	\sqcup	_	\perp	ш	_	\perp	\sqcup	ш	\perp		\perp	\perp	\perp		_	_	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	\vdash		\Box	_				\blacksquare		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	_	_	_	_
		\perp	_	_	4	_	_	_	+	_	\perp	\perp	_	_	\sqcup	_		Ш	_	_	ш	ш	_	_	\sqcup	_	\perp		_	_	_	_	_	\bot	_	ــــ		\sqcup	_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	al Iteration	\perp	_	_	4	_	+	_	+	_		\perp	\perp		\sqcup	_		ш	_		ш	ш	_		ш	_			_	_	_	\perp		\perp	_	_		\sqcup	_						\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	_	_	_	
	Update tasks / hours / EVM plan	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	4	\perp	+	\perp	\vdash	_	\perp	\perp	_	_	2	_	_	\vdash	\rightarrow	\perp	\vdash	Н	0.	.5	\vdash	\perp	\perp	Ш	\rightarrow	\perp	_	\perp	\perp	\bot	_	—	\Box	Ш	_			\Box	\rightarrow	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	_	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	
	Identify potential users	Ш	_	_	4	_	_		\perp		\perp	\perp	_				4 1		_		ш	ш	_		\sqcup		\perp	Ш	_			_		_	_	_	Ш	ш	_			Ш	_	\Box	_	_	_				_	_	
	Develop Concept of Operations	\vdash	_	_	4	\perp	_	_	\sqcup	_	\perp	\perp	_	_	\sqcup	2	2 5	8	_		4	ш	0.		ш	\perp	\perp	Ш	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	₩	\Box	Ш	_			Ш	_	\Box	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_		_	_	_	_
	Perform Technical Feasibility Analysis	\Box	\perp	_	4	\perp	\bot	\perp	\perp		\perp		\perp		Ш	_	\perp	ш	\rightarrow	4	Ш	Ш		;	\sqcup	\perp	\perp	Ш	_		\perp	\perp		\perp	\perp	\vdash		\sqcup	_				\Box		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\perp			\rightarrow	_	
	Initial CORE Model	Ш	_	4	4	_	_		ш		\perp	\perp	_		ш	_	2	ш	_	8	ш	ш	6		\perp		\perp	Ш	_	_				\perp		_	\perp	Ш	_				_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	
	Cost-analysis and ROI assessment	Ш		\perp	\perp	\perp		\perp	Ш		\perp	\Box		\perp	Ш		\perp	Ш			Ш	Ш	\perp		Ш	\perp	Ш	Ш			\bot			\perp		_									_						\perp		
	Compile Business Case	Ш	_	\perp	1		\perp		\sqcup				_		\sqcup	4	1	\sqcup	6	2		8	0.					Ш					\perp					\Box				\Box			\perp		\perp						
	Prepare the Progress Report	\Box		_	_		1		\perp I						\perp	_		\sqcup	\Box		2	2	2 2.	.5				\Box														\Box]	\Box									
	RE-Baselined Tasking								\perp									\Box																																			
	ect Management				1																																																
	Administration Tasks	Ш	\perp	1	1		\perp		\sqcup		\perp		_		\sqcup	_		\sqcup	\perp		\Box	\Box				- 1		Ш		1.0			\perp				2.0	\Box	\perp		1.0				1.0		\perp						2.0
	Team Meetings		\perp	\perp	I	\perp	\perp	\perp	\Box	\perp			I	\perp	\Box	\perp	\perp	\Box	\Box	\perp			\perp	5	4 (5	1	1.0	1.0	1.0 1					5.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	3.0	3.0 5	.0 11	1.0 1	1.0	11.0	11.0
	Progress Reports		\Box	\perp	I	\perp	I	\perp	П	\perp			I	\perp	\Box	\Box	\perp	ш	\Box	\perp			\perp		Ш	\perp				3	.0 3.	.0 5.	.0 3.0															\perp	\perp	\perp			
	Final Report		Т	Т	Т	Т	Т		П				Т		П																									0.5						2.0	2.0	2.0 2	.0 4	.0 4	1.0	2.0	2.0
	Final Presentation	\Box	\neg	T	T	\top	Т	\top	П		Т		\neg	T	П	\neg		П			П	П	\top		П	\top	Т	П	\neg		\top	\top	\top	\top		П		П					\neg		\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	2	.0 2	2.0	2.0	5.0
				T	T		\top		П				\neg		П			П	\neg		П	П																									\neg						
COL	IOPs Development	\neg	\neg	\top	1		\top		\top				\neg		П			П	\neg		П	П	\top											\top											\neg	\neg	\neg				\neg		
	User Identification and Selection	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	\top	\top	-	\top	\neg	\top	\neg	\neg	-	П	\neg	-	П	\neg	\neg	П	П	\top		\vdash	\top	-	П	\neg	\neg	\top	\top	-	\top	-	-		П	\neg			П	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	_
	Use Case Analysis	\Box	\neg	\top	$^{+}$	\top	\top	\top	\top	\top	\top	\neg	\neg	\top	\Box	\neg	\top	П	\neg	\top	П	П	\top	4	\vdash	\top	2	П	\neg		十	\top	\top	\top	T	T		\Box	\neg				\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\neg	\top	\neg	\neg	\neg	_
	Other diagrams/documentation	\vdash	\neg	\top	$^{+}$	\top	+	\top	+	\top	\top	-	\neg	\top	\vdash	\neg	\top	П	\neg		Н	т	\top		\vdash	3		П	\neg	3.0	\top	\top	2.0	1.0		T		\vdash	\neg				\neg		\neg	3.0	\neg	\neg	1	.0	\neg	\neg	_
	Draft CONOPs	\vdash	$^{+}$	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	\top	+	\vdash	\top	+	\vdash	\neg	+	\vdash	\neg	\top	Н	\vdash	+	2	\vdash	Ť	6	Н	\dashv	6	.0	1.		2.0		-		5.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	4.0	2.0	1.0			\neg	\top	т.	*	\neg	\dashv	_
	Final CONOPs	\vdash	\neg	+	$^{+}$	+	+	+	+	\top	+	\vdash	\neg	-	\vdash	\top	-	\vdash	\neg	\top	Н	\vdash	+	+-	\vdash	+	Ť	Н	\neg		_	+-		+		-										3.0	\neg	٠,	.0 1	0	\neg	\neg	_
				+	+		+		\mathbf{H}												Н																									-		-					
\$=0	tem Requirements Analysis	$\overline{}$	_	+	+	_	+	_	+	_		_	_	_	Н	_	_	Н	_	_	Н	$\overline{}$	_	_		_			$\overline{}$	_	_	_	_	_	_	_									_	\neg	_	-	_	_	_	$\overline{}$	_
	Funcational Architecture Development	\vdash	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	\vdash	\dashv	+	Н	\vdash	+	_	\vdash	+	+	Н	1.0	-	+	١,		+	+	-	Н	\vdash	\dashv			Н	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	
	Physical Architecture Development	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	1.0	+	+	- 3.	*	+	+	-	Н	\vdash	\dashv			\vdash	\dashv	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_
	Operational Architecture/CONOPs integration	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	Н	1.0	+	+	٠.		+	+	-	Н	\vdash	\rightarrow	_		\vdash	\dashv	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_
	Pinalize CORE Model	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	_	\vdash	+	+	Н	2.0	+	+	2.		+	+	2.0	Н	\vdash	\dashv	15.0		\vdash	\dashv	5.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_
		\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	2.0	-	+			+	+	1.0	Н	\vdash	\dashv	2.0		\vdash	\dashv	5.0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_
	System Requirements Analysis Draft SRD	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	-	+	1.		+	+	1.0	\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	2.0		\vdash	\dashv	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-	2.0	+	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_
		\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	_	\vdash	\vdash	+	_	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	-	+	1.	.0	+	+	-	\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow			\vdash	\dashv	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow			+	+	\rightarrow		
	Final SRD	\vdash	-	+	+	+	+		\square							\rightarrow			\rightarrow				-						_	_			\perp													_	\rightarrow	1.0			_	3.0	_
			-	+	+		+		\Box				-			\rightarrow			-				-		\vdash						-															-	-	-					_
	Anical Feasibility Analysis	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	H	\vdash	-		\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	_
	User Performance Analysis	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	2		\vdash		2.0	+	+	+	+	+	\leftarrow	1.0	\vdash	\rightarrow		\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\vdash	\dashv	\rightarrow	+	+	+	+	+	\rightarrow	_
	Hardware Capability Analysis	\mapsto	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	2	\Box	$\vdash \vdash$	-	3.0	+	+	2.0	4	+	-	2.0	$\vdash \vdash$	_		\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$		\vdash	\rightarrow	_	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\rightarrow	-	_
	- CONOPs Implications	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	\vdash	\vdash	_		\vdash	\sqcup	_	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\rightarrow	-	_
	Sharing/Networking Analysis	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	\perp	+	\vdash	+	\perp	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	\vdash	\rightarrow	+	\vdash	\vdash	+	-	\vdash	+	\perp	\sqcup		1.0	+	+	3.0		-	-	4.0	\vdash	_		\vdash	\sqcup	_	\square	_	_	\rightarrow	+	\perp	\perp	\rightarrow	_	_
	Draft Tech Analysis	\sqcup	+	+	4	+	+	+	\vdash	\perp	\vdash	\vdash	+	\perp	\vdash	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	-	\perp	\vdash	\vdash	+	_	\vdash	1	\vdash	\sqcup	_	1.0	+	+	1.0	4	-	₩	3.0	$\vdash \vdash$	_		2.5	3.0	2.0	\square	4.0	_	\rightarrow	+	\perp	\perp	\rightarrow	_	_
	Final Tech Analysis	\sqcup	4	\perp	4	\perp	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	_			4	\perp	\sqcup	\rightarrow	\perp	\sqcup	\rightarrow	\perp	\sqcup	\sqcup	\perp	_	\sqcup	_			_	_	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp				\square	_						\rightarrow	_	\rightarrow	2	.0	_	_	_	1.0
			1	\perp	1		\perp		\Box							_																																					
	iness Case Analysis	\sqcup	4	\perp	4	\perp	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	4	\perp	\sqcup	\rightarrow	\perp	\sqcup	\rightarrow	\perp	\sqcup	ш	+	_	\vdash	\perp	\perp	ш	_	\perp	+	\perp	\perp	\bot	-	_	\Box	\sqcup	_		\vdash	\sqcup		\Box	_	_	\rightarrow	+	\perp	\perp	\rightarrow	_	
	Market Research	Щ	\perp	4	4	1	1	1	\sqcup	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	1	_	\sqcup	_	\perp	ш	\perp	\perp	\sqcup	ш	\perp	_			\perp	1.0	_	\perp	1.	.0	\perp	_	5.0	-		\sqcup	_			Ш		\Box	_		\perp	\perp	1	_	\perp	_	_
	- Current Market Shares and opertunities	Ш	_	\perp	1		\perp		\sqcup				_		\sqcup	_		\sqcup	\perp		\Box	Ш						Ш					\perp					\Box				\Box			\perp		\perp						_
	- Estimate Development Costs	П	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	T	\Box		\Box	\Box	\perp		\Box	\perp		П	\perp		\Box	П	\perp		ш	T	\Box	ш	\Box	\perp	\perp	\perp		1		\perp		ш	\Box						\Box	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp		\perp	\Box	
	Description of options	\Box							$\perp I$						\perp			\Box			\Box					2		2.0	I		2.	.0			1.0				3.0			\Box]	\Box	I		2.0					I	_
	Recommendations		\Box	\perp	\perp	\perp	Ι	\mathbf{L}		\perp			\perp	\perp			\perp			\perp			\perp		Ш	\mathbf{I}		1.0		\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp						2.0								2.0	\perp	\perp	\perp			
	Draft BCA			I	\perp	\perp	Ι			\perp			I	\perp			\perp	\Box		\perp			\perp		Ш						2.	.0			3.0				3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	1.0			\Box	\perp	\perp			_
	Final BCA		\neg	\top	\top		\top		\Box		П		T		\Box			П	\neg		П	\Box							\neg							Π			\neg							\neg	4.0	1	.0	2	2.0	\neg	
				T	1		T		\Box									\Box						11	4 !	14	9	5	6	12 1	10 9	1 1	5 12	: 8	14	8	17	11	14	24.5	11	12	9.5	9.5	9.5			8 1	11 1			18	21
тот	AL HOURS PER WEEK		0				13		Τ.	19			22			32			30		Г.	33			34			3	2			46			_	47			6				4	_			46				77	_	
CIII	MULATIVE HOURS		0		T		13			32			54			86			116			149			183				15			261				:08			36				40				454				531		

Figure 4. Actual Hours Logged (before and after re-baselining)

The resulting Earned Value curve is depicted in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Earned Value for the MAGIC Project

There is an error in the formulas of our spreadsheet; Earned Value should not exceed Planned Value. The source of the error is likely related to the re-baseline that occurred after Week 7. An EVM curve should have been created for the initial baseline (covering weeks 1-7), and a separate one for the re-baseline (covering weeks 8-15).